

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>TO: Mail Stop 8</b><br><b>Director of the U.S. Patent &amp; Trademark Office</b><br><b>P.O. Box 1450</b><br><b>Alexandria, VA 22313-1450</b> | <b>REPORT ON THE</b><br><b>FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN</b><br><b>ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR</b><br><b>TRADEMARK</b> |
|---|---|

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been  
 filed in the U.S. District Court Northern District of California on the following ☒ Patents or ☐ Trademarks:

|  |                                |  |
|--|--------------------------------|--|
| DOCKET NO.<br>CV 08-00840 EMC                              | DATE FILED<br>2/6/08           | U.S. DISTRICT COURT<br>450 Golden Gate Avenue, P.O. Box 36060, San Francisco, CA 94102 |
| PLAINTIFF<br>ADVENTURE MEXICAN INSURANCE SERVICE,<br>INC., |                                | DEFENDANT<br>INTERNATIONAL INSURANCE GROUP, INC.,<br>ET AL.                            |
| PATENT OR<br>TRADEMARK NO.                                 | DATE OF PATENT<br>OR TRADEMARK | HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK  |
| 1 see Complaint  |                                |  |
| 2 7,240,017  |                                |  |
| 3  |                                |  |
| 4  |                                |  |
| 5  |                                |  |

In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:

|                            |   |                               |  |
|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| DATE INCLUDED              | INCLUDED BY<br><input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> Answer <input type="checkbox"/> Cross Bill <input type="checkbox"/> Other Pleading |                               |  |
| PATENT OR<br>TRADEMARK NO. | DATE OF PATENT<br>OR TRADEMARK  | HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK |  |
| 1                          |   |                               |  |
| 2                          |   |                               |  |
| 3                          |   |                               |  |
| 4                          |   |                               |  |
| 5                          |   |                               |  |

In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:

|                    |
|--------------------|
| DECISION/JUDGEMENT |
|--------------------|

|                             |                                  |                           |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| CLERK<br>Richard W. Wicking | (BY) DEPUTY CLERK<br>Sheila Rash | DATE<br>February 11, 2008 |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Commissioner    Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Commissioner  
 Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Commissioner    Copy 4—Case file copy

1 ROBERT E. KREBS, CA BAR NO. 57526, rkrebs@thelen.com  
2 KEITH L. SLENKOVICH, CA BAR NO. 129793, kslenkovich@thelen.com  
3 JOHN P. SCHAUB, CA BAR NO. 196775, jschaub@thelen.com  
THELEN REID BROWN RAYSMAN & STEINER LLP  
225 West Santa Clara Street, Suite 1200  
San Jose, CA 95113-1723  
Tel. 408.292.5800  
Fax 408.287.8040

ORIGINAL  
FILED  
JUL 10 -6 PM 3:50  
RICHARD W. WIERING  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIF.

ADR

5 RONALD F. LOPEZ, CA BAR NO. 11756, rflopez@thelen.com  
6 THELEN REID BROWN RAYSMAN & STEINER LLP  
101 Second Street, Suite 1800  
7 San Francisco, CA 94105-3606  
Tel. 415.371.1200  
8 Fax 415.371.1211

E-FILING

9 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
10 *Adventure Mexican Insurance Services, Inc.*

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 ADVENTURE MEXICAN INSURANCE  
14 SERVICES, INC., a California corporation,

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 INTERNATIONAL INSURANCE GROUP,  
18 INC., an Arizona corporation, DBA MEXICO  
19 & RV INSURANCE SERVICES

20 Defendant.

Case No.:

**C08 00840 EMC**

**COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY  
JUDGMENT OF PATENT NON-  
INFRINGEMENT AND INVALIDITY**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

1  
2 **PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE**

3 1. Plaintiff Adventure Mexican Insurance Services, Incorporated ("AMIS") is a  
4 corporation organized under the laws of the state of California, with a principal place of business  
5 in Soquel, California.

6 2. AMIS is in the business of selling Mexican auto insurance to non-Mexican  
7 residents via its websites including www.mexadventure.com.

8 3. On information and belief, defendant International Insurance Group, Inc. ("IIG") is a  
9 corporation organized under the laws of Arizona, and has a principal place of business at 121 E.  
10 Birch Avenue, Suite #207, in Flagstaff, AZ 86001.

11 4. On information and belief, IIG is affiliated with and owns Mexico Insurance  
12 Professionals, a company whose principal place of business is the same building, with the same  
13 address, as IIG..

14 5. On information and belief, IIG does business in California under the DBA "Mexico  
15 & RV Insurance Services," CA License #OA99044.

16 6. On information and belief, IIG, its affiliate Mexico Insurance Professionals, and  
17 their associated companies do business within California, and have otherwise purposefully availed  
18 itself of the laws of California, including this District.

19 7. IIG has purposefully directed its patent infringement allegations and threats against  
20 AMIS, a resident of this District, knowing that AMIS was a resident of this District and knowing  
21 that at least some of the business activities of AMIS that it was challenging were transacted in and  
22 from this District.

23 8. On information and belief, IIG is the current assignee and owner of United States  
24 Patent No. 7,240,017 ("the '017 patent," or "patent in suit"), which issued on July 3, 2007, a true copy  
25 of which is attached as Exhibit A. True ownership of the patent in suit has not been determined,  
26 but on information and belief, records at the United States Patent and Trademark Office reflect that  
27 IIG is the current assignee of the patent in suit.  
28

1           9.       This action arises under the patent laws of the United States (Title 35 of the United  
2 States Code).

3           10.       This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1338(a) and  
4 2201-02.

5           11.       Venue in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(c), 1400(b),  
6 because IIG does business in the Northern District of California, and therefore is a resident of this  
7 District for venue purposes.

8           12.       There exists a case of actual controversy between AMIS and IIG regarding the  
9 alleged infringement of the '017 patent. Actions by IIG have caused and created an actual,  
10 immediate and substantial controversy between the parties, regarding the issue of non-  
11 infringement of any valid claim of the patent in suit.

12           13.       In particular, while the patent application corresponding to the '017 patent was still  
13 pending before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, IIG filed a 'Petition to Make Special' alleging  
14 actual infringement by AMIS websites www.mexadventure.com and  
15 www.discoverbajaonline.com. A true copy of the petition is attached as Exhibit B.

16  
17                   **COUNT 1: DECLARATION OF PATENT NON-INFRINGEMENT**

18           14.       Paragraphs (1) through (13) are incorporated by reference herein.

19           15.       On information and belief, IIG owns the '017 patent.

20           16.       AMIS has never infringed and does not currently infringe any valid claim of the  
21 '017 patent.

22           17.       AMIS is entitled to a declaration that it does not infringe any valid claim of the '017  
23 patent.

24  
25                   **COUNT TWO: DECLARATION OF PATENT INVALIDITY**

26           18.       Paragraphs (1) through (13) are incorporated by reference herein.

27           19.       Actions by IIG have caused and created an actual controversy between the parties,  
28 regarding the issue of whether the '017 patent is valid.

1           20.     One or more claims of the '017 patent is invalid for failure to meet the conditions of  
2 patentability of 35 U.S.C. § 101 et seq., including without limitation those of sections 102, 103,  
3 and 112.

4           21.     AMIS is entitled to a declaration that one or more claims of the '017 patent is  
5 invalid.

6  
7                               **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

8           WHEREFORE, AMIS requests:

9           A.     a declaration that AMIS has not infringed any valid claim of the '017 patent;

10          B.     a declaration that IIG and each of its officers, employees, agents, alter egos,  
11 attorneys, and any persons in active concert or participation with it be restrained and enjoined  
12 from further prosecuting or instituting any action against AMIS claiming that the '017 patent is  
13 infringed, or from representing that the products or services provided by AMIS, or use of those  
14 products or services by others, infringe the '017 patent.

15          C.     an award to AMIS of reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285; and


16          D.     such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

17  
18                               **JURY DEMAND**

19          AMIS demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

20          Dated: February 6, 2008

THELEN REID BROWN RAYSMAN & STEINER

21  
22                               By: 

23                               ROBERT E. KREBS  
24                               KEITH L. SLENKOVICH  
25                               RONALD F. LOPEZ  
26                               JOHN P. SCHAUB

27                               *Attorneys for plaintiff Adventure Mexican Insurance*  
28                               *Services Incorporated*